



Computer Networking

COMP 177 | Fall 2020 | University of the Pacific | Jeff Shafer

DNS

DNSSEC, DNS over TLS, DNS over HTTPS

Motivation

- IP addresses are hard to remember
 - 138.9.110.12? Or was it .21?
- Human-friendly names are much better
 - `engineering.pacific.edu`
- How can we translate between the two?

Early Days (prior to 1984)

- Each computer on the ARPAnet (early Internet) had a single file
 - `hosts.txt` maps all known host names to IP address
- Master list maintained by SRI Network Information Center
 - Email them if your mapping changes
 - New list produced 1-2 times a week
 - All hosts download the new list
- **Problems with this approach?**



Domain Name System (DNS)

- **Distributed database** implemented in hierarchy of many **name servers**
- **Application-layer protocol**
 - Hosts, routers, and name servers communicate to resolve names (address/name translation)
 - Core Internet function, implemented as application-layer protocol
 - Complexity at network's "edge"

DNS is Decentralized

- No single point of failure
- No distant centralized database
- Easier maintenance
 - Take one or a dozen servers offline without issue
- Support high traffic volume
- *** Scalability ***

How many DNS requests/second globally?



DNS: Scalability

- **Challenging to find data on global DNS requests/sec**
 - No global internet “dashboard”
 - Internet is a “network of networks”
- Would have to inquire with AT&T, Comcast, TimeWarner, Pacific, etc
 - They would have to check stats on all of their local servers
- **Google Public DNS**
 - 1+ trillion requests/day as of August 2018
 - <https://security.googleblog.com/2018/08/google-public-dns-turns-8888-years-old.html>
- **OpenDNS**
 - 130 billion requests/day as of April 2020
 - <http://system.opendns.com/>

What's in a Name?

- `engineering.pacific.edu`
 - `.edu` is top-level domain
 - “`pacific`” belongs to `.edu`
 - “`engineering`” belongs to “`pacific`”
 - Hierarchical! Read from right to left
- Limits?
 - Up to 127 levels of hierarchy
 - Each label can have up to 63 characters
 - Full domain name cannot exceed 253 characters

DNS: Services

- Hostname to IP address translation
 - *“www.pacific.edu” is 23.185.0.4*
- Hostname aliasing
 - Canonical, alias names
- Hostname load distribution
 - Replicated servers – Multiple IP addresses available for one name
 - *“google.com” is 74.125.239.128 or 74.125.239.135 or ... or or ... or*

DNS: Services

- Mail server aliasing
 - What are the **multiple** host names that receive mail for this domain?
 - 1st priority, then 2nd backup, then 3rd backup, etc...
 - Allows you to use 3rd party email services (e.g. Google Apps)
 - *Mail to “pacific.edu” is directed to “pacific-edu.mail.protection.outlook.com.” (SPAM filtering)*
- Other / Misc
 - SPF entries for email (Anti-spam)
 - DNSSEC (security/encryption)
 - Many other attributes...

DNS: Record Types (Distributed Database)

Resource Record (RR) format: (**name**, **value**, **type**, **ttl**)

➤ Type=**A**

- *name* is **hostname**
- *value* is **IP address**

➤ Type=**NS**

- *name* is domain (e.g. foo.com)
- *value* is **hostname** of **authoritative name server** for this domain

➤ Type=**CNAME**

- *name* is alias name for some “canonical” (real) name
- *value* is canonical name

➤ Type=**MX**

- *value* is name of **mailserver** associated with name

➤ Type=**TXT**

- *value* is machine readable text (arbitrary)

DNS: Example

```
$ dig pacific.edu any
```

```
; <<>> DiG 9.8.3-P1 <<>> pacific.edu any
;; global options: +cmd
;; Got answer:
;; ->>HEADER<<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 5270
;; flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 9, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 0
```

```
;; QUESTION SECTION:
```

```
;pacific.edu.                IN      ANY
```

Resource Record Type

```
;; ANSWER SECTION:
```

```
pacific.edu. 59 IN A 52.38.242.166
```

```
pacific.edu. 59 IN A 34.210.252.224
```

```
pacific.edu. 899 IN NS ns-110.awsdns-13.com.
```

```
pacific.edu. 899 IN NS ns-1289.awsdns-33.org.
```

```
pacific.edu. 899 IN NS ns-2044.awsdns-63.co.uk.
```

```
pacific.edu. 899 IN NS ns-705.awsdns-24.net.
```

```
pacific.edu. 899 IN SOA ns-110.awsdns-13.com. awsdns-
hostmaster.amazon.com. 1 7200 900 1209600 86400
```

```
pacific.edu. 59 IN MX 0 pacific-edu.mail.protection.outlook.com.
```

```
pacific.edu. 59 IN TXT "status-page-domain-verification=tnw7vhhyh60c"
```

```
pacific.edu. 59 IN TXT "v=spf1 ip4:138.9.110.0/25 ip4:208.117.48.237
```

```
ip4:176.31.145.254 include:spf.protection.outlook.com
```

```
include:spf.qualtrics.com include:spf.mandrillapp.com include:stspg-
```

Resource Record Value

DNS: Example

```
$ dig www.pacific.edu any
```

```
; <<>> DiG 9.8.3-P1 <<>> pacific.edu any
;; global options: +cmd
;; Got answer:
;; ->>HEADER<- opcode: QUERY, status: NOERROR, id: 5270
;; flags: qr rd ra; QUERY: 1, ANSWER: 9, AUTHORITY: 0, ADDITIONAL: 0
```

```
;; QUESTION SECTION:
```

```
;pacific.edu.                IN      ANY
```

```
;; ANSWER SECTION:
```

```
www.pacific.edu. 59 IN A 23.185.0.4
```

```
www.pacific.edu. 59 IN TXT "google-site-
verification=t3PZMb1DhGWjZb0EUyfhnd_zoAMN7yOkDMXyMxSHAh4"
```

```
www.pacific.edu. 59 IN AAAA 2620:12a:8000::4
```

```
www.pacific.edu. 59 IN AAAA 2620:12a:8001::4
```

```
;; Query time: 103 msec
```

```
;; SERVER: 2600:1700:b810:b988::1#53(2600:1700:b810:b988::1)
```

```
;; WHEN: Tue Nov 24 11:28:48 PST 2020
```

```
;; MSG SIZE rcvd: 197
```

Resource Record Type

Resource Record Value

DNS: Name Resolution

➤ Two types

➤ **Recursive**


➤ The server you contact provides the final answer

➤ *Behind the scenes, it may make several consecutive requests*


➤ **Iterative**

➤ The server you contact directs you to a different server to get (closer to) the final answer

en.wikipedia.org = 208.80.154.224



I don't know the details for anything under .org, but 5.6.7.8 can help you get closer.



.com	1.2.3.4
.org	5.6.7.8
.net	9.10.11.12
.info	13.14.15.16
.biz	17.18.19.20
...	...


1.2.1.2

index.html	scripts.js
about.html	styles.css
events.html	

208.80.154.224

Can you tell me how to
get to en.wikipedia.org?

Go ask 11.21.31.41.
It knows about
everything under
wikipedia.org.



1.1.1.1



1.3.4.1



3.3.3.1



4.2.4.1

mozilla	5.10.15.20
wikipedia	11.21.31.41
coursera	1.3.5.7
whatwg	10.1.10.1
w3	34.56.12.3
...	...

5.6.7.8



2.2.1.2

en	6.4.12.17
de	9.9.7.5
ru	15.120.1.1
ja	87.6.5.12
fr	9.8.9.200
...	...

11.21.31.41

<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2018/05/a-cartoon-intro-to-dns-over-https/>

.com	1.2.3.4
.org	5.6.7.8
.net	9.10.11.12
.info	13.14.15.16
.biz	17.18.19.20
...	...

1.2.1.2

index.html
scripts.js
about.html
styles.css
events.html

208.80.154.224

Can you tell me how to
get to en.wikipedia.org?

1.1.1.1

1.3.4.1

3.3.3.1

4.2.4.1

mozilla	5.10.15.20
wikipedia	11.21.31.41
coursera	1.3.5.7
whatwg	10.1.10.1
w3	34.56.12.3
...	...

5.6.7.8

2.2.1.2

en	6.4.12.17
de	9.9.7.5
ru	15.120.1.1
ja	87.6.5.12
fr	9.8.9.200
...	...

Oh yeah, just go
to 208.80.154.224.

11.21.31.41

<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2018/05/a-cartoon-intro-to-dns-over-https/>

DNS: Root Name Servers


- Contacted by local name server that can not resolve top-level domain
- Root name server:
 - Contacts authoritative name server for TLD if name mapping not known
 - Gets mapping
 - Returns mapping to local name server



13 root name “servers” worldwide labeled a - m

- Each “server” is really a cluster
- Some clusters are geographically distributed
- 1094 total in Spring 2020

DNS: Root Name Servers



<http://www.root-servers.org/>

TLD and Authoritative Servers

➤ **Top-level domain (TLD) servers**

- Responsible for com, org, net, edu,... and all top-level country domains (uk, fr, ca, jp, ...)
- Server maintainers
 - VeriSign for .com, .net TLDs
 - Educause for .edu TLD

➤ **Authoritative DNS servers:**

- Organization's DNS servers, providing authoritative hostname to IP mappings for organization's servers
- Can be maintained by organization or service provider

Local Name Server (Cache)


- **Aka “Stub Resolver”**
- Not part of previous hierarchy
- Each ISP (residential ISP, company, university) has one or more
- When host makes DNS query, query is sent to its local DNS server
 - Maintains local cache of common DNS records
 - *www.facebook.com?*
 - Acts as proxy, forwards query into hierarchy and provides eventual reply
- **You typically know this server’s IP address from DHCP (upon connecting to the network)**

DNS and UDP

- DNS uses UDP by default
 - It *can* use TCP, but it's rare
 - **Isn't this unreliable?**
- Why use UDP
 - Faster (in three ways!)
 - No need to establish a connection (RTT/latency overhead)
 - Lower per-packet byte overhead in UDP header
 - Less packet processing by hosts
 - Reliability not needed
 - DNS will just re-request if no response received (2-5 seconds)

DNS and Security



How much money are
you willing to spend
to see what Jane Doe
has been looking at?



<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2018/05/a-cartoon-intro-to-dns-over-https/>

DNS and Security


Send it to 1.6.6.6...
that's totally the right
address and not a fake
one that is under my
control.



<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2018/05/a-cartoon-intro-to-dns-over-https/>

DNS and Security

POTENTIAL THREATS



<https://hacks.mozilla.org/2018/05/a-cartoon-intro-to-dns-over-https/>

DNS and Security

➤ Confidentiality

- Traditional DNS request and reply (over UDP) is plaintext
 - ISP spies on your Internet usage for profit?
 - NSA spies on your Internet usage for control?
 - DNS is *not just for names*
- Solutions: **DNS over HTTPS, DNS over TLS**

➤ Integrity

- Traditional DNS request and reply (over UDP) is unsigned
- ISP tampers with reply message? (NXDOMAIN replaced with ad-laden site)
- Governments tamper with reply message? (Domain blocked by court order)
- Hackers tamper with reply message? (Redirect to malware site)
- Solutions: **DNSSEC** (and DNS over HTTP/TLS)

➤ Availability

- *Addressed by DNS distributed database design*

<https://dnsprivacy.org>

DNSSEC




DNSSEC

- **Domain Name System Security Extensions (DNSSEC)**
- Validate that a DNS response has not been tampered with
 - IP addresses, TXT, MX, etc... (all data protected)
- Uses public/private keys and signatures
- Prevents some attacks against clients (e.g. DNS cache poisoning)
- Does **not** provide confidentiality
 - Communication between client and server is in plaintext


DNSSEC Basics

- All records of same type (AAAA, ...) grouped into resource record set (RRSet)
- The RRSet is digitally signed, not individual record




DNSSEC Basics

- Each zone has Zone-Signing Key (ZSK)
 - Private key signs entire RRset
 - Signature saved in RRSig record (stored in DNS)
 - Public key verifies entire RRSet
 - Key saved in DNSKey record (stored in DNS)




DNSSEC Basics




- Resolver pulls a particular record (AAAA) along with the RRSig (*which signs the record set*) and public Zone Signing Key (*which verifies the RRSig*)
- Resolver verifies signature
- **But how does it trust the public ZSK?**

DNSSEC Basics


- DNSSEC name servers have Key Signing Keys (KSK)
 - KSK is used to sign public Zone Signing Key (ZSK)
 - Name server publishes public KSK in a DNSKey record
- But how do we trust these KSKs?



DNSSEC Basics



- Delegation Signer (DS) records allow trust to be transferred from Parent zone to Child Zone
- Hash of DNSKey record (containing KSK) is produced by zone operator (e.g. `example.com`) and given to parent zone (e.g. `.com`)



DNSSEC Basics


➤ Chain of Trust

➤ Root is **self-signed** – societal engineering challenge to rotate root KSKs on periodic basis

➤ DNS Root zone KSK last rotated October 11 2018

➤ Previous key was from 2010

Use of DNSSEC Validation for World (XA)



➤ Very slow adoption of DNSSEC – Is trend even increasing?


➤ RFC 4033 released in 2005

<http://stats.labs.apnic.net/dnssec/XA?c=XA&x=1&g=1&r=1&w=7&g=0>

<https://blog.apnic.net/2018/02/26/peak-dnssec/>

DNSSEC Validation Rate by country (%)

(::)APNIC LABS
<http://labs.apnic.net/>



DNS over TLS



Motivation

- DNS sent over plaintext is vulnerable to snooping and manipulation
 - Encrypt it!

DNS Over TLS

- Encrypt DNS queries/responses over TLS connection
 - Uses existing DNS functionality to send queries/responses over TCP (infrequently used)
 - Now just encrypted via TLS
 - TCP port 853
 - [RFC 7858]
- Provides Confidentiality + Integrity for MITM attacks (no eavesdropping / no tampering)
 - **Key caveat** – The DNS server itself could provide a malicious reply. This does not replace need for DNSSEC!

Adoption

Clients

- Linux Systemd
 - Implemented as-of June 2018 (v239)
 - Off by default
- Android 9+
- iOS 14+
- Stubby
 - Local DNS Privacy Stub Resolver
 - <https://dnsprivacy.org/wiki/display/DP/DNS+Privacy+Daemon+-+Stubby>

DNS Services

- Cloudflare (1.1.1.1)
- Google Public DNS (8.8.8.8)
- Quad9 (9.9.9.9)

DNS over HTTPS (DOH)



Motivation

- Network operators use DNS as means to enforce policy
 - *Though Shall NOT Access That Website*
 - Oppressive government?
 - Oppressive network operator?
 - Responsible network operator trying to save you from visiting malicious websites?

DNS Over HTTPS

- Encode DNS queries and responses over HTTPS
 - [RFC 8484]
- Advantages (*for web browsers*)
 - Privacy (DNS request/response encrypted)
 - Tamper resistance: Network operators can't block DNS without also blocking HTTPS
 - *Which is very obvious to the end user*
 - *Network operators can't even tell there's DNS data being sent*
 - Reduced latency
 - HTTP/2 server push
 - Browser can do DNS directly, no need to invoke system resolve
 - Proxying and caching will work for DNS too

<https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/doh/about/>

DNS Over HTTPS

- Can work independently from existing DNS methods
 - UDP
 - TLS [RFC 7857]
 - DTLS [RFC 8094]
- DNS response data (identical bytes as UDP response) is placed in HTTPS payload
 - MIME type: `application/dns-message`
 - HTTP/2 server push can even send values to client in advance of request
- **Key caveat** – The DNS server itself could provide a malicious reply. This does not replace need for DNSSEC!

Adoption

Clients

- Web browsers
 - *Typically opt-in*
 - Chrome, Firefox, Edge
- iOS 14+, macOS 11+
- Windows 10

DNS Services

- Cloudflare (1.1.1.1)
- Google Public DNS (8.8.8.8)
- Quad9 (9.9.9.9)

DOHysteria

/dəʊ hi'stɪərɪə/

noun

exaggerated or uncontrollable emotion or excitement surrounding DNS over HTTPs

Origin: Geoff Huston

DOH Challenges


- Browser can use a different DNS namespace than the rest of your computer (email, chat, etc.) that still uses the system resolver
 - Browser can “punch-through” local infrastructure
- Implications on naming consistency across applications?
 - *DNS Split-Horizon hacks: PacificNet example with students using Google Public DNS while on-campus*

<https://blog.apnic.net/2018/10/23/dns-oarc-29-diving-into-the-dns/>

DNS Trivia



- Web browsers (and other applications) use the resolver provided by the operating system, which is (typically) provided via DHCP
- *But they don't have to....*



Cloudflare (1.1.1.1)

➤ DNSSEC: **YES** DNSoTLS: **YES** DOH: **YES**

➤ Privacy policy


- Discard all personally identified information after 24 hours
- Never sold to third parties



<https://blog.cloudflare.com/announcing-1111/>

Google Public DNS (8.8.8.8)


➤ DNSSEC: **YES** DNSoTLS: **YES** DOH: **YES**



IBM Quad 9 (9.9.9.9)

➤ DNSSEC: **YES** DNSoTLS: **YES** DOH: **YES**

➤ Blocks access to domains considered threat to security by “threat intelligence partners”



IBM Quad 9 (9.9.9.9)

Quad9 Threat Intelligence Partners (<https://www.quad9.net/about/>)

abuse.ch



mnemonic



proofpoint



DNS and Security

➤ <https://dnsprivacy.org>

➤ Good reference for ongoing work in this area!

Closing Thoughts

Recap

- Today we discussed
 - Domain Name System
 - DNS Security Techniques
 - DNSSEC
 - DNS over TLS
 - DNS over HTTPS

Next Class

- Last Class! 😊
 - HTTP/2 (SPDY)
 - HTTP/3 (QUIC)

Project 5

Due Dec 2nd